



WEB COPY



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.04.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.15514 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.17527 & 17528 of 2025

M/s. OM Tirumala Fabrics
rep. by its Partner, Mr.Sushilkumar Toshniwal

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer,
Washermenpet Assessment Circle
Chennai.

...Respondent

Prayer

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records on the file of the Respondent and to quash the impugned assessment order dated 30.03.2024 bearing no 33AABFO2277J1ZA/2019-20 passed by the Respondent as arbitrary.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Ashish
For Respondent : Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran
Government Advocate (T)

Order

Heard Mr.J.Ashish learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, learned Government Advocate (T) who takes



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order passed by the respondent dated 30.03.2024 and to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the show cause notice and the reminder notices were uploaded in the notices tab, which were unknown to the petitioner until 24.03.2025, only when the respondent-GST officials informed the petitioner about the impugned proceeding, the petitioner came to know of the impugned order dated 30.03.2024. Therefore, it is contended that the act of non-responding to the show cause notice and reminder notices is neither wilful nor wanton, but, purely owing to the fact that the petitioner was totally not aware of those notices, however, the respondent, without even affording of an opportunity of personal hearing, passed the impugned order.



W.P.No.15514 of 2025


WEB COPY

3.1 Hence, the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the impugned assessment order passed by the respondent suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside. However, it is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is also ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remands the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration and thus, prays for appropriate orders.

4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. I have given due considerations to the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

WEB COURT

impugned show cause notice and allied reminders were uploaded on the

GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal. Further, the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to the petitioner. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

6.1 No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who finds no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices, instead of sending repeated reminders, should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise, the service of notice will not be deemed to be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same would pave way




W.P.No.15514 of 2025

for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

6.2 Therefore, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order, as the same suffers from the violation of principles of natural justice. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, considering the fact that the petitioner has come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax in the event the impugned order is set aside, this Court is inclined to pass/issue the following orders/directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 30.03.2024 is set aside.



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves have voluntarily come forward to make such payment within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a 14 clear days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

30.04.2025

sd

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no

6/8



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

WEB COPY To

The State Tax Officer,
Washermenpet Assessment Circle
Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.15514 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

sd

W.P.No.15514 of 2025

30.04.2025