



Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

1. Chandru S/o. Velu
2. Murugan S/o. Velu
Accused

... Petitioners /

Vs.

The State represented by:

The Inspector of Police,
B-2 Esplanade Police Station.
(Crime No.87 of 2025).

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in connection with the Crime No.87 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr. R. Dinesh

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.87 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 311 and 351(3) of BNS.



Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners threatened the defacto complainant with deadly weapons and also they have robbed Rs.750/- from the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are false; that they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners are in custody from 26.03.2025; and that further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that, the 1st petitioner/A1 has 8 other previous cases and the 2nd petitioner/A2 has one previous case and they are on bail, in those cases.

5. Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the petitioners are on bail in other previous cases and that since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond each for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **VII Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

WEB COPY

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala***
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.04.2025

mjs

To

1. The VII Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
B-2 Esplanade Police Station.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12292 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.
mjs

Crl. O.P. No.12292 of 2025

23.04.2025