



Crl.OP.No.12359 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 24.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.12359 of 2025

Prasanth

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Cheyyur Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.61 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the
event of arrest in Crime No.61 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police

For Petitioner : M/s.A.Murugavel T

For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2)
of BNS in Crime No.61 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to



the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY


6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur, Chengalpattu District**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl.OP.No.12359 of 2025

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

24.04.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
dpa



Crl.OP.No.12359 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Cheyyur Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
 - 2.The learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Cheyyur, Chengalpattu District
 - 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

SUNDER MOHAN , J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.12359 of 2025

dpa

Crl.O.P.No.12359 of 2025

24.04.2025