



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12188 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 12188 of 2025

Saravanan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Namagiripet Police Station,
Namakkal District.
(Crime No.81 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.N.Chinnaraj

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.81 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 331(4) & 62 of BNS, in Crime No.81 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused had attempted to commit theft of the temple property. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that the allegations are false; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the main accused/A1 was arrested and in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and confirms the fact that the main accused/A1 was arrested and he is still in custody and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations; the fact that the main accused was arrested; the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.12188 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN J.

drl

by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

23-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Namagiripet Police Station,
Namakkal District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 12188 of 2025