



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11770 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 11770 of 2025

Rangasami Puttan @ Rangasamy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Anaicut Police Station,
Anaicut, Vellore District.
(Crime No.173 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Vinodhkumar

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.173 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 25(1B)(a) of Arms Act, 1959 in Crime No.173 of 2024, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CrI.O.P.No.11770 of 2025

WEB

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of country made gun at the backyard of the house. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that the allegations are false and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the weapon has been seized and that there is one previous case against the petitioner and he is on bail in that case.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations; the fact that the weapon has been seized and the petitioner is on bail in previous case and since the custodial



Crl.O.P.No.11770 of 2025

interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this

WEB COPY Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.V, Vellore** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.11770 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN J.

drl

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

22-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Anaicut Police Station,
Anaicut, Vellore District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 11770 of 2025