



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.12228 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **23.04.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.12228 of 2025

1. R.Subramanian
2. Chandra
3. Jeeva

..Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs

State Rep By,
The Inspector Of Police
Vellakovil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Cr.No.105 of 2025)


..Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners / Accused on
anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.105 of 2025 pending
on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioners : Mr.N.Manokaran
For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b),
118(1) and 351(3) of the BNS Act, in Crime No. 105 of 2025, on the file



of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity with regard to pathway dispute, the petitioners assaulted the de facto complainant and threatened him of dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; the petitioners have no bad antecedents and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the de facto complainant was injured and subsequently discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the



purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **Judicial Magistrate Court, Kangayam** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

SUNDER MOHAN,J.



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.12228 of 2025

gbi

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

gbi

23.04.2025

To:

1. The Inspector Of Police, Vellakovil Police Station, Tiruppur District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Kangayam.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

CRL OP NO.12228 of 2025