



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.11960 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.11960 of 2025

Kalaiselvam

...Petitioner/Accused 2

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
R-11 Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.

(Crime No.120 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.120 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.03.2025, seeking bail




in Crime No.120 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 77 of JJ Act and Sections 123 and 278 of BNS, 2023.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that 27.03.2025 at about 19.40 hours, based on the secret information, the respondents went to the scene of occurrence and found the petitioner along with the other accused standing in a suspicious manner; that thereafter they recovered 100 Tapentadol Tablets from the accused. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent; that the similarly placed co-accused was granted bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.11498 of 2025 on 17.04.2025; and that the petitioner is in custody from 27.03.2025 and hence, further custody of the petitioner is not required and sought for bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the contraband has been seized and that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner and he is on bail in those cases.



5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the contraband has been seized, the petitioner is on bail in the previous cases, the fact that the similarly placed co-accused was granted bail by this Court and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police,
everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;


[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either
during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned
Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the
petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed
and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court
himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State
of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.04.2025

ata



Copy to:

1.The Inspector of Police,
R-11 Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.

2.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal.

4.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.11960 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.11960 of 2025

23.04.2025