



C.M.P.No.11882 of 2025 in
C.M.A.S.R.No.60797 of 2025

WEB COPY


SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petition has been filed by the Claimant to condone the delay of 784 days in filing the Appeal in C.M.A.S.R.No.60787 of 2025.

2. Learned Counsel for the Transport Corporation, who is present in Court, has objected on allowing the Appeal and sought time to file counter.

3. Considering the conduct of the Transport Corporation as observed by me as a trial Judge in the District Judiciary, as M.C.O.P Tribunal Judge, invariably, even after confirming the Appeal, there will be delay in depositing the amount before the Tribunals on the part of the Transport Corporation. Under those circumstances, the claim of the learned Counsel for the Respondent-2 /Transport Corporation that they want to file counter vehemently objecting to the petition cannot be sustained.

4. Invariably in all cases, the Counsels who represent the Insurance



WEB COPY

Companies/Transport Corporation represent that the Appeal shall be allowed on condition that the interest for the period of delay shall be waived. Under those circumstances, here in this case, the claim of the learned Counsel for the Respondent/Transport Corporation seeking time to file counter is rejected outright.

5. The petition in C.M.P.No.11882 of 2025 is allowed on condition that the Petitioner as Appellant is not entitled to claim interest for the period of delay of 784 days.

6. Registry is directed to number the Appeal if it is otherwise in order.

11.07.2025



WEB COPY

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

Shl

C.M.P.No.11882 of 2025 in
C.M.A.S.R.No.60797 of 2025

11.07.2025