



Cont.P.No.1685 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 06.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

Contempt Petition No.1685 of 2024

in

W.P.No.26399 of 2022

S.Gaythri Devi

... Petitioner/Petitioner

-VS-

1. Thiru.Arivoli

The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai-600 006.

2. Thiru.V.C.Rameswara Murugan,

The Member Secretary,
Teachers Recruitment Board,

College Road, Chennai-600 006.

... Respondents/Respondent 2 & 3

Prayer: Petition is filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order of this Court in W.P.No.26399 of 2022 dated 09.10.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Saseetharan

For R1 : Mrs.P.Rajarajeswari
Govt. Advocate

For R2 : Mr.R.Neelakandan
Addl. Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.C.Kathiravan
Standing Counsel



Cont.P.No.1685 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Contempt Petition has been filed for punishing the respondent for having not obeyed the orders of this Court dated 09.10.2023 made in W.P.No.26399 of 2022.

2. This Court, while disposing of the Writ Petition on 09.10.2023, passed the following order:

“23. In view of the above discussions, the petitioner is entitled to be awarded mark for Question No.110 and the challenge in respect of the other question is rejected.

In the result, the TRB shall award mark to the petitioner for Question No.110 and revise the total mark secured by the petitioner. The right of the petitioner to be appointed as PG Assistant (Economics) will abide by the said revision. It is made clear that since the selection process has already been over and the interest of the petitioner has been protected by way of interim order of this Court, the benefit of this order will enure in favour of the petitioner and the candidates who have approached the court promptly by initiating legal proceedings and the TRB shall not extend the benefit of this order to any of the other candidates.”



Cont.P.No.1685 of 2024

3. The main grievance of the petitioner is that though this Court

directed the TRB to award one mark and revise the total mark, the rank has not been revised and if the same has been revised, there is a fair chance for the petitioner to get a posting in a Government School itself, whereas she has now been posted only in a Corporation School.

4. Per contra, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for R2 submitted that as per the direction of this Court, the total mark has been revised and during pendency of the petition, she was appointed as PG Assistant (Economics) and therefore, no contempt is made out.

5. Insofar awarding of mark and appointment as PG Assistant (Economics), the order has been passed and the only grievance of the petitioner is that seniority has to be revised based on the ranking in the selection list. It is pertinent to state here that this Court in the order dated 09.10.2023, has not given any specific direction in respect of revision of seniority. If the petitioner is aggrieved over her appointment in the Corporation School, it is for her to challenge in the separate proceedings.



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1685 of 2024

N.SATHISH KUMAR,J.,

ar

6. In such view of the mater, I do not find any reason to hold that contempt is made out in this case. Accordingly, this Contempt Petition is closed. No costs.

06.03.2025

ar

To:

1. Thiru.Arivoli
The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai-600 006.
2. Thiru.V.C.Rameswara Murugan,
The Member Secretary,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
College Road, Chennai-600 006.

Contempt Petition No.1685 of 2024