



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.14115 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-05-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHIL KUMAR

CRL OP NO.14115 of 2025

Selvakumar

... Petitioner(s)/ Accused

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
J9 Thoraipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 097.
(Crime No.707 of 2025).

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.707 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : M/s. N. Gayathri

For Intervener : Mr. D. Madhusudanan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)




ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 468, 471 of IPC in Crime No.707 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant had rented one of her shop to one Renuka and the petitioner herein the brother-in-law of the said Renuka had created a fabricated rental agreement with a forged sign of the defacto complainant for the said shop. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that a civil suit is pending between the parties; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate for the investigation and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation is pending and opposed the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener raised strong objection to the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner by stating that the petitioner is in illegal occupation of the defacto complainant's property as if he is a tenant and also not paid even single penny for more than 14 years. He also submitted that the petitioner is misusing and abusing the loopholes in the law, in violation of principles of natural justice and further obtained Interim Orders by using the forged Rental Agreement dated 01.10.2011.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsels, the gravity of offence and the fact that the investigation is still pending, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.14115 of 2025

N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.

stn/ nsl

WEB COPY 7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

21.05.2025

stn/ nsl

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.**
- 2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.**

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
J9 Thoraipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 097.
(Crime No.707 of 2025).
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.14115 of 2025