



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-12-2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

CRP No. 2066 of 2024

and C.M.P. Nos.11044 & 11049 of 2024

1. K.Selvam
S/o.Kuppuswamy, No.21/2, Bakers street,
Choolai, Chennai-600112
2. Padma @ Padmavathy
W/o.Jayavel, No.21/2, Bakers street, Choolai,
Chennai-600112.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. R.Sharmila
W/o.J.Sureshkumar, NO.7, Sabapathy Pattar
Street, Thiruporur, Chengelpet Dist.,
Pincode-603110
2. J.Sureshkumar
S/o.Jayavel, No.21/2, Bakers street, Choolai,
Chennai-600112.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to call for the records pertaining to DVC No.194/2023 on the file of Learned Additional Mahila, Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai and discharge the petitioner/Respondent 2 and 3 from the above case.



For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.UMASHANKAR

For Respondent(s): M/s.R.MURUGABHARATHI
(for R1)

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition is filed seeking to quash the complaint preferred by the 1st respondent under the provisions of Domestic Violence Act.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the complaint preferred by the 1st respondent, no allegation was made against the 2nd petitioner and she has been impleaded in the complaint just to take vengeance.

3.Learned counsel for the 1st respondent submits that the name of M/s.MD.Sulaiman Basha is wrongly mentioned in the cause list as he already handed over the papers to the 1st respondent. The said statement is recorded.

4.Taking into consideration the specific allegations in the complaint, this Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the case. It is open to the petitioners to go before the learned Magistrate and raise all preliminary issues as held by the full bench of this Court in *Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya* reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435.



WEB COPY

5. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya*** reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435 held that any person aggrieved by the process issued by the Magistrate can go before the very same Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like existence of a shared household/ domestic relationship etc., If any order is passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the Domestic Violence Act. The relevant portion reads as follows:-

87(vii). *As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application*



under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.

WEB COPY

6. In view of the availability of alternative remedy before Magistrate, as held by Full Bench in **Arul Daniel** case, this Court is not inclined to exercise supervisory power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Further, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society** reported in **MANU/SC/1365/2019** held that availability of alternative remedy before regular Courts, is near total bar for exercise of supervisory power by High Court. Hence, I am not inclined to interfere in revision.

7. Accordingly, the Civil Revision petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Magistrate for getting appropriate remedy in terms of order passed in **Arul Daniel** case cited supra.

8. Having regard to the fact the complaint preferred under Section 12 of Domestic Violence Act is predominantly civil in nature, this Court is inclined to dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned



Magistrate unless it is absolutely necessary. Accordingly, the CMP.No.11044 of 2024 is ordered and the connected CMP.No.11049 of 2024 is closed. No costs.

WEB COPY

05-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GSA

To

The Additional Mahila,

Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 2066 of 2



S.SOUNTCHAR, J.

GSA

**CRP No. 2066 of 2024
and C.M.P. Nos.11044 & 11049 of 2024**

05-12-2025