



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11425 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 11425 of 2025

1.Muthukrishnan
2.Kiruthika

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Arni, Thiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.05 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.B.Kumarasamy

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.05 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 376(2)(n), 294(b) and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.05 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner and the defacto

complainant had a love affair; that on the false promise of marriage, the first petitioner had sexual intercourse with the defacto complainant and thereafter refused to marry her; that the petitioners herein who are the parents of the first petitioner had prevented the marriage of the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; that it is a case of consensual relationship; that the first petitioner had married the defacto complainant; that the petitioners are nothing to do with the alleged offence, and hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the first accused had married the defacto complainant; and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the fact that the first accused had married the defacto complainant and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.11425 of 2025

SUNDER MONHAN, J.

drl

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

17-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Arni, Thiruvannamalai District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 11425 of 2025