



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE R. SUBRAMANIAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

**W.A Nos. 1701, 1709, 1828, 1396, 1541, 1702, 1704 and 1728 of
2024 and CMP Nos.10842, 12144 and 13166 of 2024**

W.A.No.1701 of 2024

1. R. Pandiyan
- 2.K.Sugumaran
- 3.P.Balakumaraswamy
- 4.Kavirajan

... Appellants

Vs

1. The State Of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government, Home(Police III)
Department, Fort St George, Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General Of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnansalai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.

- 3.C.Sumathi
- 4.M.R.Rajesh
- 5.T.Kirubanithi
- 6.R.Subbiah
- 7.G.Saiganesh
- 8.N.Rajkumaran

... Respondents



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB C WA No. 1709 of 2024

1. M R Raja Robert
- 2.S.Sivaraja
- 3.K.Janakan

... Appellants

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government, Home (Police III) Department,
Fort St George, Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.

- 3.Mr.B.Arul Murugan,
- 4.Mrs.P.Arul Jothi,
- 5.Mr.T.Vinoth Kumar,
- 6.Mr.R.Hariharasugan,
- 7.Mr.K.Vignesh Prabhu,
(R3 to R7 impleaded vide order dt
29.07.2021 made in WMP.14761/2021 in
WP.12966/2021 by SSSRJ)
- 8.C.Sumathi
- 9.M.R.Rajesh
- 10.T.Kirubanithi
- 11.R.Subbiah
- 12.G.Saiganesh
(R8 to R12 impleaded vide order dt
26/11/2021 made in WMP.18448/2021 in
WP.12966/2021 by VPNJ)
- 13.H.Saravanan
- 14.V.Rajaganes
- 15.G. Sankara Subramanian,
- 16.G. Mahalakshmi,
- 17.M. M. Selvaragavan,
- 18.M.Ravivarman,
- 19.R. Vimal,
- 20.M.Ramesh
- 21.P.Natarajan



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB

- 22.M.Velumani
- 23.S.Ramya
- 24.P.Vetrichelvi
- 25.P.Devi
- 26.R.Arivalagan
- 27.K.Muthulakshmi
- 28.B.Praveen Kumar
- 29.S.Ganesan
- 30.S.Nazeer
- 31.N.Sudha
- 32.P.Jayalakshmi
- 33.K. Jayandra Sarawathi,
- 34.A. Manimanogaran,
- 35.K. SANTHI,W/o. Shankar,
- 36.M. Mohammed Buhari,
- 37.K. Saravanan,
- 38.U.Senthilnathan
- 39.V.Baskaran
- 40.R.Sankar
- 41.K.Thirunavukarasu
- 42.S.Ramesh
- 43.S.Balasubramaniam
- 44.R.Senthilkumar
- 45.N.Senthil Kumar
- 46.G.Rajesh Kannan
- 47.D.Thangavel
- 48.N.Sriraman

... Respondents

WA No. 1828 of 2024

1. U.Senthilnathan
- 2.V.Baskaran
- 3.R.Sankar
- 4.K.Thirunavukarasu
- 5.S.Ramesh
- 6.S.Balasubramaniam
- 7.R.Senthilkumar
- 8.N.Senthil Kumar
- 9.G.Rajesh Kannan
- 10.D.Thangavel
- 11.N.Sriraman

... Appellants



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government, Home (Police III)
Department, Fort St
George, Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.

3.Mr.B.Arul Murugan,
4.Mrs.P.Arul Jothi,
5.Mr.T.Vinoth Kumar,
6.Mr.R.Hariharasugan,
7.Mr.K.Vignesh Prabhu,
(R3 to R7 impleaded vide order dt
29.07.2021 made WMP.14761/2021
in WP.12966/2021 by SSSRJ)

8.C.Sumathi
9.M.R.Rajesh
10.T.Kirubanithi
11.R.Subbiah
12.G.Saiganesh
(R8 to R12 impleaded vide order dt
26/11/2021 made in WMP
No.18448/2021 in WP.12966/2021
by VPNJ)

13.H.Saravanan
14.V.Rajaganes
15.G. Sankara Subramanian,
16.G. Mahalakshmi,
17.M. M. Selvaragavan,
18.M.Ravivarman,
19.R. Vimal,
20.M.Ramesh
21.P.Natarajan
22.M.Velumani
23.S.Ramya



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB 24.P.Vetrichelvi
25.P.Devi

26.R.Arivalagan
27.K.Muthulakshmi
28.B.Praveen Kumar
29.S.Ganesan
30.S.Nazeer
31.N.Sudha
32.P.Jayalakshmi
33.K. Jayandra Sarawathi,
34.A. Manimanogaran,
35.K. SANTHI,W/o. Shankar,
36.M. Mohammed Buhari,
37.K. Saravanan,
(R13 to R37 impleaded as per order
dated 07.12.2023 in WMP No.
24102/2022 in WP.12966/2021 BY
RNMJ)
38.M.G.Raja Robert
39.S.Sivaraja
40.K.Janakan

... Respondents

WA No. 1396 of 2024

1. S.Ramachandran
2.R.Velmurugan
3.S. Raja
4.S. Selvam
5.P. Jeyakumar

... Appellants

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government Home (Police III)
Department Fort St George Chennai 09

2.The Director General of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No. 601 Dr
Radhakrishnan Salai Mylapore Chennnai
600 004



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB

3.Mr.B.Arul Murugan,
4.Mrs.P.Arul Jothi,
5.Mr.T.Vinoth Kumar,

6.Mr.R.Hariharasugan,
7.Mr.K.Vignesh Prabhu,
(R3 to R7 impleaded vide order dt
29.07.2021 made in WMP.14755/2021 in
WP.12783/2021 by SSSRJ)

8.C.Sumathi
9.M.R.Rajesh
10.T.Kirubanithi
11.R.Subbiah
12.G.Saiganesh,
(R8 TO R12 impleaded vide order dt
26/11/2021 made in WMP.25631/2021 in
WP.12783/2021 by VPNJ)

13.K.Magesh Kumar
14.S.Suresh
15.S. Ashok Kumar
16.N.K. Arumugam
17.C. Vino Jeyakumar
18.R.Gunaseelan
19.G.Venkatesan
20.S.Kumar
21.M. Selvaraju
22.G.Rajendran
23.J. Kirubanathan
24.P.Sivakumar
25.G.Janarthanan
26.P.Perumal
27.K. Prabhakaran
28.M.Divakar
29.R.Anandan
30.P.Mageswaran
31.S.Ramachandran

... Respondents

WA No. 1541 of 2024

1. G.Soorian
2.P.Murugan

... Appellants



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government Home (Police III)
Department, Fort St George,
Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General of Police
Office of Director General OF Police,
Post Box No.601, Dr.Radhakrishnan
Salai, Mylapore, Chennai- 600 004.

3.S.Ponraja

... Respondents

WA No. 1702 of 2024

1. S. Ashok Kumar
- 2.N.K. Arumugam
- 3.C. Vino Jeyakumar
- 4.R.Gunaseelan
- 5.G.Venkatesan
- 6.S.Kumar
- 7.M. Selvaraju
- 8.G.Rajendran
- 9.J. Kirubanathan
- 10.P.Sivakumar
- 11.G.Janarthanan
- 12.P.Perumal

... Appellants

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government Home (Police III)
Department Fort St George Chennai 09

2.The Director General of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No. 601 Dr
Radhakrishnan Salai Mylapore Chennnai
600 004

- 3.Mr.B.Arul Murugan,
- 4.Mrs.P.Arul Jothi,



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB C

- 5.Mr.T.Vinoth Kumar,
- 6.Mr.R.Hariharasugan,
- 7.Mr.K.Vignesh Prabhu,
(R3 TO R7 impleaded vide order dt
29.07.2021 made in wmp.14755/2021 in
WP.12783/2021 BY SSSRJ)
- 8.C.Sumathi
- 9.M.R.Rajesh
- 10.T.Kirubanithi
- 11.R.Subbiah
- 12.G.Saiganesh,
(R8 TO R12 impleaded vide order dt
26/11/2021 made in wmp.25631/2021 IN
WP.12783/2021 BY VPNJ)
- 13.S.Ramachandran
- 14.K.Magesh Kumar
- 15.S.Suresh
- 16.R.Velmurugan
- 17.S. Raja
- 18.S. Selvam
- 19.K. Prabhakaran
- 20.M.Divakar
- 21.R.Anandan
- 22.P.Mageswaran
- 23.S.Ramachandran
- 24.P. Jeyakumar

... Respondents

WA No. 1704 of 2024

S.Ponraja

... Appellant

Vs

1. The State Of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government Home (Police III)
Department, Fort St George, Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General Of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB 3.G.Soorian
4.P.Murugan

... Respondents

WA No. 1728 of 2024

N.Rajakumaran

... Appellant

Vs

1. The State Of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Additional Chief Secretary to
Government, Home(Police III)
Department, Fort St
George, Chennai- 09.

2.The Director General Of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnansalai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.

3.C.Sumathi,
4.M.R.Rajesh,
5.T.Kirubanithi,
6.R.Subbiah,
7.G.Saiganesh
8.R.Pandiyan
9.K.Sugumaran
10.P.Balakumaraswamy
11.Kavirajan

... Respondents

PRAYER in W.A.No.1701 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed in WP No.10747 of 2021 dt. 14.03.2024.

PRAYER in WA No. 1709 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed in WP.No.12966/2021 dated 14-03-2024 and thus render justice



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB PRAYER in WA No. 1828 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set-aside the order passed by the learned Judge in W.P.No. 12966 of 2021 dated 14/03/2024.

PRAYER in WA No. 1396 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed by the learned Judge in W.P.No. 12783 of 2021 dated 14/03/2024.

PRAYER in WA No. 1541 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed in WP No. 18507 of 2021 dated 14.03.2024.

PRAYER in WA No. 1702 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed in W.P. No. 12783 of 2021 dated 14-03-2024.

PRAYER in WA No. 1704 of 2024

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed in W.P. No. 18507 of 2021 dated 14-03-2024 and thus render justice.

PRAYER in WA No. 1728 of 2024

To set-aside the order passed by the learned Judge in W.P.No. 10747 of 2021 dated 14/03/2024.

For Appellants 1,3 and 4 in Mr.P.Arumugavel
W.A.No.1701 of 2024,
Appellants 1,2,4 to 7, 10 and
11 in W.A.No.1702 of 2024,
Appellants in 1704 and 1709
of 2024 :

For Appellant No.2 in Mr.M.Ravi
W.A.No.1701 of 2024 and
Appellants 3, 8, 9 and 12 in
W.A.No.1702 of 2024



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

For Appellants in
W.A.Nos.1728, 1828,1396
and 1541 of 2024 :

Mr.K.Venkataramani
Senior Counsel for
M/s.M.Muthappan

For Respondents 1 and 2 in
W.A.No.1701, 1702,1704,
1728, 1828, 1396 and 1541
of 2024 :

Mr.P.Kumaresan,
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.P.Anandakumar
Government Advocate

For Other Respondents in
Writ Appeal Nos. 1701,
1702,1704 and 1709 of
2024 :

W.A.No.1701/2024 : R5, R6 to R8 -
Unclaimed

W.A.No.1702/2024 :

Mr.M.Premkumar for R14

Mr.M.Saravanakumar for R23

R5, R6, R13, R18, R20, R22 and

R24 - Served, R21 - Died

R15 and R19 - Unclaimed

R16 - Tapal not yet received

R17 - No such person

W.A.No.1704 of 2024 :

R3 - Served, No appearance

W.A.No.1709 of 2024 :

No appearance - RR 3, 5, 10 to 15,
16,18, 20, 21 and 23

Not ready in notice - R4, R6 to R9,
17, R22, R24 and R48.

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by R.Subramanian J.)

The appellants are aggrieved by the portion of the order of the learned Single Judge, whereby they were not given the benefit of seniority over the others, who were already been selected and appointed.



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY 2. This very order of the learned Single Judge was subject matter of challenge in W.A.Nos.1350 of 2017 etc., batch and by an order dated 23.04.2018, while dismissing the appeals as infructuous since the Government had implemented the order of the learned Single Judge appointing 77 candidates who had secured higher marks than the lowest cut-off marks, in terms of the earlier orders of the Division Bench and the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Division Bench had made it clear that the benefit of the selection would be only from the date of issuance of the appointment order prospectively and the seniority list will have to be prepared on the basis of the date of reporting. Therefore, the issue relating to seniority has been finally determined by the Division Bench in its order dated 23.04.2018. For the purpose of clarity, we extract Paragraph 7 of the order of the Division Bench, which reads as follows:

“ 7. Since out of 88, pursuant to the direction given by this Court, the Government has accommodated 77 persons, who satisfied the minimum cut-off marks in the District wise selection, we are of the considered view that the above writ appeals filed by the Government as against the order passed by the learned Single Judge has become infructuous and hence, the above Writ Appeals are disposed of. However, so far as those persons who are selected, the benefit of their selection would be only from the date of the issuance of appointment order prospectively. Since it is found that the Government has



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

considered selection of 77 persons and their appointment orders would come into effect from the date of reporting for duty, seniority list has to be prepared on the basis of reporting and they are not entitled for any other service benefits over others who were already selected. All the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs."

3. We therefore do not think that we can allow the appellants to re-agitate the very same issue in these appeals. It is claimed that a review has been sought for of the order of the Division Bench dated 23.04.2018 . Leaving it open to the appellants to raise all their contentions in the Review Application, these appeals are dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(R.S.M.,J.) (K.G.T.,J.)
12.06.2025

KST
Index : No
Internet : Yes
Neutral Citation : No



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

WEB COPY

- To
1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home(Police III) Department, Fort St George, Chennai- 09.
 2. The Director General Of Police
Office of DGP, Post Box No.601,
Dr.Radhakrishnansalai, Mylapore,
Chennai- 600 004.



WEB COPY



W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709,
1728 1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
AND
K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

KST

W.A Nos.1701, 1702, 1704, 1709, 1728,
1828, 1396 and 1541 of 2024

12.06.2025