



WEB COPY IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

LPA.No.10 of 2023

DATED: 06-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

L.P.A.No.10 of 2023

against Contempt Petition No.532 of 2021

in W.P.No.29276 of 2019

Dr.T.S.N.Sastry(Died)
S/o Late T.J.Madhukeswara,
Vice Chancellor,
Tamil Nadu Dr.Ambedkar Law University
Poompozhi.
No.5, Dr.D.S.Dinakaran Salai,R.A.Puram,
Chennai - 600 028

1. Ms.Garimmella Buchivenkata Anuradha,
W/o Late T.S.N.Sastri

2. Tamma Anoosha Madhu Kiran,
D/o T.S.N.Sastri

3. Tamma Medha,
D/o T.S.N.Sastri
1 to 3 residing at
No.43-5-9, railway new Colony,
Seethammadhara Village & Mandal,
Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh

..Appellants

Cause title accepted vide order of Court dt.17.07.2023 made
in CMP.No.14835/2023 in LPA.SR.No.61331/2023 (RMDJ & MSQJ)



Vs

WEB COPY

B.Chithra
Registrar Pondicherry University,
R.V.Nagar, Kalapet,
Pucucherry - 605 014.

..Respondent

Letters Patent Appeal under Clause 15 of Letters Patent Appeal to set aside the order dated 19.10.2022 passed in Contempt Petition No.532 of 2021 by the learned Single Judge of this Court and allow the above Letters Patent Appeal.

For Appellants : Mr.S.Ramesh

For Respondent : No appearance

JUDGMENT

(The Order of the Court was made by N.Sathish Kumar)

Challenge has been made to the order of the learned Single Judge closing the Contempt Petition.

2. Originally, a Contempt Petition has been filed by the original petitioner in the writ petition for disobeying the order dated 16.10.2020 passed in W.P.No.29276 of 2019. During the pendency of the contempt petition, it is stated by the respondent that writ appeal has been filed against the order of the writ Court and the writ petitioner died and the



legal heirs were not brought on record.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants would submit that the appeal filed by the respondent was already dismissed by this Court by order dated 14.07.2025. The legal heirs have also been brought on record and the cause titled was also accepted.

4. The issue is only with regard to the applicability of GPF Scheme or CPF Scheme. The writ petitioner joined in the service of the respondent University on 01.11.1988. At that time, he was absorbed in G.P.F. scheme. Therefore, the contention of the respondent that CPF Scheme only would apply to him, cannot be countenanced. Hence, the submission of the legal heirs that they are entitled to canvass that point in the contempt petition, cannot be denied. The writ Court has directed the first respondent therein to take a comprehensive decision and pass appropriate orders towards settlement of GPF benefits to the petitioner therein within a specified period. In such view of the matter, closing the contempt petition is not proper, as the order has not been complied with. The legal heirs are entitled to continue with the contempt proceedings.



WEB COPY

Therefore, the order passed by the learned single Judge dated 19.10.2022, closing Contempt Petition, is set aside. The matter is remitted back to the learned Single Judge for fresh consideration. The Contempt Petition stands restored and it is to be heard on merits. Accordingly, the Appeal is ordered. No costs.

vsi

(N.S.K.,J.) (M.J.R.,J.)
06.11.2025



WEB COPY



5

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.

and

M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

vsi

LPA.No.10 of 2023

06.11.2025