



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.11570 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.11570 of 2025

Ranjith Kumar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
F-1, Chintadripet Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.27 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.27 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Mohan Raj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.01.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.27 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 109(1), 351(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with the other accused had brutally attacked the defacto complainant's son with knife and caused grievous injuries to him all over the body. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; that due to previous enmity, a false complaint has been lodged; that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that in any case, considering the period of incarceration, the petitioner may be released on bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has one previous case and he is on bail in that case and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital after taking treatment for 67 days.



5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY


6.Considering the period of incarceration, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the view that further custody of the petitioner is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai – 600 008**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;


WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

21.04.2025

ata



Copy to:

WEB COPY

1.The Inspector of Police,
F-1, Chintadripet Police Station,
Chennai.

2.The XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai – 600 008.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.11570 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

Crl.O.P.No.11570 of 2025

21.04.2025