



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-06-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

WP No. 16901 of 2025

T.Sadhanandham

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Director General Of Police
Law And Order,
Chennai 600 004.

2.Deputy Inspector General Of Police,
Villupuram Range, Villupuram,
Villupuram.

3.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office,
Villupuram District.

4.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office,
Villupuram District.

5.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office,
Cuddalore District.



Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To call for the records relating to the impugned order issued by the 3rd respondent herein in his proceedings D.O.No.159/2018, C.No.D2/4307/2018 dated 26.02.2018 and the consequential order in D.O.No.160/2018, C.No.D2 /4307 /2018 dated 26.02.2018 and quash the same and consequentially direct the respondents herein to allow the petitioner to retire from service with all consequential service and monetary benefits and also issue a direction directing the respondents to regularize the period of suspension of the petitioner as duty for all purposes under Ruling 9 of Fundamental Rules 54, together with all consequential service and monetary benefits.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.G.Bala

For Respondent(s): Mr.N.Naveen Kumar
Government Advocate

ORDER

The writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned orders issued by the 3rd respondent in his proceedings dated 26.02.2018 seeking to quash the same. The petitioner also seeks a consequential direction to the respondents to allow him to retire from service with all consequential service and monetary benefits. Furthermore, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to regularize the period of suspension as duty for all purposes under Rule 9 of



Fundamental Rules 54, along with all consequential service and monetary benefits.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was suspended on 26.02.2018, pursuant to a case registered under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was acquitted of the criminal charges on 06.09.2024. Despite this, the petitioner's various representations for revocation of the suspension, are yet to be considered by the respondents.

3. At this Juncture, the learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents would fairly submit that the petitioner's representation will be considered within a reasonable time.

4. In such view of the matter, without going into the merits of the writ petition, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.03.2025 and pass order according to its own



merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

25-06-2025


Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Jeni



To

WEB COPY

1.The Director General Of Police
Law And Order Chennai 600 004.

2.Deputy Inspector General Of Police,
Villupuram Range, Villupuram,
Villupuram.

3.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office, Villupuram
District.

4.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office, Villupuram
District.

5.The Superintendent Of Police,
District Police Office, Cuddalore
District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 16901 of 2025



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

Jeni

WP No. 16901 of 2025

25-06-2025