



Crl. O.P. No.12303 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.12303 of 2025

Nareshbabu S/o. Rajkumar

... Petitioner / Accused

Vs.

The State represented by:

The Inspector of Police,
T-2 Ambattur Estate Police Station,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.138 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.138 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.K. Dilli Ganesh

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.138 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 324(2), 125, 311 and 351(3) of B.N.S.



Crl. O.P. No.12303 of 2025


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with 6 other accused went to the defacto complainant's vegetable shop; that they assaulted the defacto complainant, threatened him of dire consequences and also robbed Rs.850/- from him at knife point. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner is in custody from 15.03.2025; and that further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and that since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation,



Crl. O.P. No.12303 of 2025

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



Crl. O.P. No.12303 of 2025

WEB COPY

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.04.2025

mjs

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
T-2 Ambattur Estate Police Station,
Chennai District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No.12303 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.
mjs

Crl.O.P.No.12303 of 2025

23.04.2025