



Crl.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

Tharun

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
K-2, Ayyanavaram police Station,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.129 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.129 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Santhosh

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.129 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 311, 351(3) of BNS.



Crl.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused went to the defacto complainant's tiffin shop, ordered food and refused to pay money and when the same was questioned, accused attacked the defacto complainant with knife and also robbed a sum of Rs.700/- from the cash box. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false; that petitioner is in custody from 26.03.2025 and in any case, further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, submitted that, apart from this case, petitioner has other previous cases and in those cases, he is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of allegation; the period of incarceration; petitioner is on bail in other cases and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CrI.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

16.04.2025

Sma
To

1. V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore.
2. The Inspector of Police,
K-2, Ayyanavaram police Station,
Chennai District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

CrI.O.P.No.10982 of 2025

16.04.2025