



W.P.No.33859 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD**

**Writ Petition No.33859 of 2016**  
**and W.M.P.Nos.30183, 30341 & 30342 of 2016**

P.Selvarajan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Collector,  
Salem District,  
Salem.
- 2.Municipality Election Officer cum  
The Commissioner,  
Attur Municipality,  
Attur – 636 102,  
Salem District.
- 3.The Attur Municipal Council rep. by its,  
Chairman,  
Attur Municipality,  
Attur – 636 102,  
Salem District.
- 4.J.Stalin
- 5.T.D.Ilayaraja
- 6.S.Thirugnanam
- 7.A.Mohan
- 8.K.Ayisha

Page No.1 of 5



W.P.No.33859 of 2016

WEB COPY

9.The Commissioner of Municipal Administration  
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,  
Chennai – 600 005.

*(R9 is suo-motu impleaded as per Order dated 29.09.2016 by NKKJ in  
W.P.No.33859/2016)* ... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider and pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 12.09.2016 and not to entertain any nomination of the respondents 4 to 8 or their family members for the forthcoming Local Body elections 2-16 in view of the resolution passed by the third respondent in resolution No.406 dated 30.11.2015.

For Petitioner : M/s.K.Sujeetha  
for Mr.V.Elangovan

For Respondents 1, 3 & 9 : Mr.M.Rajendiran,  
Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent 2 : Mr.B.Anand,  
Standing Counsel

For Respondent 7 : Mr.V.Srikanth

For Respondents 4, 5 & 8 : Mr.D.Nellaiappan

For Respondent 6 : Mr.G.Ethirajulu



W.P.No.33859 of 2016

WEB COPY

## **ORDER**

The relief sought by the petitioner in this writ petition is to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider and pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 12.09.2016 and not to entertain any nomination of the respondents 4 to 8 or their family members for the forthcoming Local Body elections 2-16 in view of the resolution passed by the third respondent in resolution No.406 dated 30.11.2015.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter has become infructuous and nothing survives in this writ petition for further adjudication. He also made an endorsement to that effect in the case bundle.

3. In view of the above submission coupled with an endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are



W.P.No.33859 of 2016

WEB COPY 14.03.2025

vm

Index : Yes/No

Speaking Order : Yes/No

**J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD,J.**

vm

To:

- 1.The Collector,  
Salem District,  
Salem.
- 2.Municipality Election Officer cum  
The Commissioner,  
Attur Municipality,  
Attur – 636 102,  
Salem District.
- 3.The Attur Municipal Council rep. by its,  
Chairman,  
Attur Municipality,  
Attur – 636 102,  
Salem District.
- 4.The Commissioner of Municipal Administration  
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,  
Chennai – 600 005.

**W.P.No.33859 of 2016**

Page No.4 of 5



WEB COPY



W.P.No.33859 of 2016

**14.03.2025**