



W.P.No.33842 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30/10/2025

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

Writ Petition No.33842 of 2016

P. Arunkumar ... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Chief Engineer
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution
Corporation Ltd
No.144 Anna Salai
Chennai 600 002.

2. The Chairman
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution
Corporation Ltd
No.144 Anna Salai
Chennai 600 002.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus to direct the first respondent to revise the selection list dated 26/2/2014, vide Proceedings No.Nil and include the name of the petitioner in the selection list.

For petitioner ... Mr.A.Aathimoolam



W.P.No.33842 of 2016

For respondents ... Mr.R.Nikkhilesh Athav
for
Mr.K.Raj Kumar
(TANGEDCO)

- - - - -
ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the first respondent to revise the selection list dated 26/2/2014, vide Proceedings No.Nil and include the name of the petitioner in the selection list.

2. Brief facts which are necessary for the disposal of the writ petition are as follows:-

The petitioner is a Diploma Holder who had appeared for an interview in the Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation Ltd., for the post of Technical Assistant/Electrical/Mechanical on 12/12//2013. After the interview was over, selection list was issued on 26/2/2014, wherein, the name of the petitioner did not find place in the selection list. According to the petitioner, he had submitted a representation on 12/5/2016, i.e., nearly 2 years and 3 months after the selection list was issued alleging



W.P.No.33842 of 2016

that a flawed selection process was followed, resulting in the name of the petitioner not being found in the selection list.

3. Heard Mr.A.Aathimoolam, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.R.Nikkhilesh Athav, learned counsel for the respondents.

4. When the petitioner's counsel is questioned as to how this Court can issue directions revise the selection list, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondents have not even responded to the representation of the petitioner dated 12/5/2016. Learned counsel further submitted that it would suffice if this Court issues a direction to the respondents to dispose of the representation dated 12/5/2016.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that in the event of the petitioner providing proof to the effect that the representation dated 12/5/2016 was actually made, the respondents shall respond to the said representation and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.No.33842 of 2016

WEB COPY

6. Recording the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, this **writ petition is disposed of.** No costs.

(K.SURENDER,J)
30th October, 2025

mvs.

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Chief Engineer

Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation Ltd
No.144 Anna Salai
Chennai 600 002.

2. The Chairman

Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation Ltd
No.144 Anna Salai
Chennai 600 002.

K.SURENDER, J

mvs.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.33842 of 2016

W.P.No.33842 of 2016

30/10/2025