



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.04.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.10769 of 2025

Vivek Kumar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
P-1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.131 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.131 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Karthick

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.03.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.131 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and altered to Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 308(2), 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that on 23.03.2025 at about 05.30 p.m., the petitioner along with the other accused trespassed into the defacto complainant's shop and demanded money; that when the defacto complainant refused the same, the accused had abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him and threatened him of dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent; and that in any case, the petitioner is in custody from 27.03.2025 and hence, further custody is not required and sought for bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is a previous case pending against the petitioner and he is on bail in that case.



5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6.Considering the nature of allegations, the period incarceration, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in the previous case and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **X Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

09.04.2025

ata



Copy to:

1.The Inspector of Police,
P-1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai.

2.The X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal – II.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10769 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

Crl.O.P.No.10769 of 2025

09.04.2025