



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.10891 of 2025

Abishek S/o. Jeyaprakash

... Petitioner/Accused-1

Vs.

The State represented by-

The Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvallur.

(Crime No.69 of 2025).

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.69 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Manivelan

For Respondent : Ms. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.69 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 205, 319(2), 318(4) and



Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

351(2) of B.N.S..

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, on the pretext of securing job to the defacto complainant in police department, had received a sum of Rs.1,63,000/-; that further the defacto complainant had paid a sum of Rs.30,000/- through Gpay and Rs.8,000/- through cash to the petitioner; and that thereafter he neither secured job nor returned the money. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false; that the co-accused was already released on bail; that in any case, considering the period of incarceration, further custody of the petitioner is not required and prayed that the petitioner may be released on bail;

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and the co-accused was granted bail.

5. Considering, that nature of allegation against the petitioner, the period of



Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

incarceration, the fact that the co-accused was granted bail, the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur.**

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

15.04.2025

mjs

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur.
2. The Inspector of Police, Tiruvallur Taluk Police Station, Tiruvallur.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

mjs

Crl. O.P. No.10891 of 2025

15.04.2025