



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10574 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

Crl.O.P.No.10574 of 2025

Muruganandham

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Rathinapuri Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.

(Crime No.127 of 2025)

... Respondent


**PRAYER:** This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.127 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.03.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.127 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 123 of BNS, 2023 and Section 6(b) r/w 24(1) of COTPA, 2003 and Section 77 of JJ Act.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 60 kgs of banned tobacco products in his petty shop. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and that the contraband has been seized and the petitioner is in custody from 17.03.2025 and hence, further custody of the petitioner is not required.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are 14 previous cases pending against the petitioner, out of which, 6 cases have been disposed of and he is on bail in those cases and that the contraband has been seized.



5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in the previous cases, the fact that the contraband has been seized and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court – II, Coimbatore**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

08.04.2025

ata



Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,  
Rathinapuri Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate Court – II, Coimbatore.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.10574 of 2



**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

ata

**CrI.O.P.No.10574 of 2025**

**08.04.2025**