WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 13223 of 2025
AND
WMP NO. 14783 OF 2025,WMP NO. 14782 OF 2025

M/s.Sebon Creations,
(Now Defunct)
Represented by its Proprietor,
Mr.K.Gopalsamy,
12/5, Kurinji Nagar,
VOC Nagar North, Tiruppur 641607.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner(ST)
Kongu Nagar Circle, Tirupur.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the impugned proceedings of the respondent in GSTIN33ADVPG9076A1ZF /2019-20 dated 17.08.2024 and the connected order under section 73 dated 17.08.2024 and the summary of the order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 17.08.2024 issued in Reference No

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ZD3308241391589 and to quash the same as passed contrary to the provisions of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 read with the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 and also passed in contrary to the principles of natural justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Rajkumar P

For Respondent(s): Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik,
Additional Government Pleader (t)

ORDER

This writ petition filed has been filed by the petitioner challenging the impugned assessment order dated 17.08.2024 passed by the respondent for the Financial Year 2019-2020.

2.Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3.By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

WEB COPY

4.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, in the present case, the petitioner have not received any physical copy of the show cause notice and also personal hearing notice. The show cause notice dated 26.01.2024 was uploaded in the GST Portal tab in view additional notices column and the petitioner had no ocassion to open the GST Portal. Even the impugned order dated 08.04.2024 was also uploaded in the view additional notices column which is violation of principle of natural justice. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax demand in respect of the impugned assessment period and prayed to set aside the impugned order directing the respondent to permit the petitioner to file their reply and provide an opportunity of personal hearing so that the petitioner would be able to substantiate their case.

5.Learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that as per the voluntary submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, subject to the deposit of 25% of the disputed tax demand by the petitioner in respect of the impugned assessment period, if the Court feels it

WEB COPY

appropriate and it is a fit case for re-consideration, this Court may consider and pass orders.

6.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the repondent and perused the materials available on record.

7.Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

WEB COPY

8.No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

9.Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to the petitioner. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

(i) The order impugned herein is set aside on condition that the petitioner deposits 25% of the disputed tax amount in respect of the impugned assessment period, as agreed by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

10.With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

15-04-2025

Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No

rst

To:-

The Assistant Commissioner(ST)
Kongu Nagar Circle, Tirupur.

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 13223 of 2025
AND
WMP NO. 14783 OF 2025,
WMP NO. 14782 OF 2025

15-04-2025

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis