



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10325 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.10325 of 2025

Rajamani

Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kallakurichi Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
(Crime No.213 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.213 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. V. Gunasekar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) and 109(1) of BNS (Sections 294(b), 323, 503 and 307 of IPC) in Crime No.213 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.03.2025, on account of previous family dispute, the petitioner along with other accused abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and her family members. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent; that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are closely related to each other; that on account of family dispute, a false case has been lodged by the defacto complainant; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear



and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are closely related to each other; on account of family dispute, wordy quarrel arose and both parties exchanged blows; that the injured has been discharged; that the petitioner has no bad antecedents; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is a lady and has no bad antecedents and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate -I, Kallakurichi** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10325 of 2025



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

07.04.2025

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate -I, Kallakurichi.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kallakurichi Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
(Crime No.213 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10325 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.10325 of 2025

07.04.2025