



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11092 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 11092 of 2025

1.Mohammed Yahaya
2.Sathakkathullah
3.Ayisha Parveen
4.Vignesh

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Moolanur Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.76 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.R.Rajan

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.76 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.76 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB CONFERENCE


2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of prior enmity, on the date of alleged occurrence, the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, damaged his car mirror and threatened with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR; and in any case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required and sought anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the the petitioners have no bad antecedents; and since the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant



Crl.O.P.No.11092 of 2025

anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dharapuram, Tiruppur District**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11092 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN J.

drl

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

16-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Moolanur Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 11092 of 2025