



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10390 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.10390 of 2025

1. P.K. Sasikumar
2. Revathi S. Sasikumar

Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
P-2 Otteri Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.385 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.385 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. V. Karthick

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 24(1) COTPA, 2003 and 123 of BNS in Crime No.385 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on a secret information, the respondent police went to the spot and found that the accused persons were in illegal possession of 2.600 kilograms of Hans, 29 rams of Cool-lip tobacco products and 320 grams of Mava; that upon investigation, it is revealed that the petitioners were also involved in the aforesaid offence. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case, based on the confession of the co-accused; that the petitioners had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide



WEB COPY

by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the contraband involved in this case is of intermediate quantity; that the petitioners have one previous case under IPC; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the contraband seized from the co-accused is of intermediate quantity, the fact that the petitioners are on bail in the other case and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore at Chennai** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10390 of 2025



[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

07.04.2025

stn

To

1. The X Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore at Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
P-2 Otteri Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.385 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,

5/6



High Court of Madras.

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10390 of 2025



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.10390 of 2025

07.04.2025