



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 12-11-2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI**

**CRL A No. 1638 of 2025**

1. RAMADOSS

S/o.Ranganathan, Residing at No.48,  
Chinna Street, Avaloor Colony,  
Perumbulipakkam Post, Nemeli Taluk,  
Ranipet Distirct.

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep by  
Inspector of Police, All Women Police  
Station, Arakkonam, Cr.No.7 of 2023

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER**

Criminal Appeal filed under Section 415 of BNSS Act 2024, praying to call for records in SPL.S.C.No.133/2023 on the file of Sessions Judge, Special Court (The Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act of 2012) Vellore and set-aside the conviction and sentence passed on dated 25/06/2024 and thus render Justice.

For Appellant(s): Mr.M.P.Jayaprakash



WEB COPY



For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Meganathan  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

### **JUDGEMENT**

When the matter was taken up for hearing today, the petitioner appeared along with his counsel, and the victim appeared along with her mother. Admittedly, the petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife, and the victim girl is their daughter.

2. As on date, the petitioner is serving as a Village Administrative Officer (VAO) under Arakkonam Taluk. It appears that, while under the influence of alcohol, he caused disturbances in the family, which led to the lodging of the present complaint. However, it is now submitted that the petitioner has resumed his duties after the suspension was cancelled, and he is presently taking care of the entire family. The same has also been admitted by the wife/defacto complainant.



3. The victim girl has expressed before this Court that she wishes to live peacefully with her parents and is not inclined to proceed further with the case.

A compromise memo has been filed, duly signed by both the petitioner and the defacto complainant, indicating that the petitioner has refrained from consuming alcohol. The victim girl, who is studying in the first year of BBA, has also affirmed before this Court that her father, the petitioner, has not consumed alcohol in recent times.

4. Since the parties are now living together harmoniously, there is no necessity to continue the penal proceedings. In order to enable the family to live peacefully, keeping the prosecution alive would serve no useful purpose. Relying upon the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and Another*, reported in (2012) 10 SCC 303, this Court finds that there is no need to keep the case pending when the parties have amicably settled the issue.



5. Accordingly, the joint memo of compromise is recorded, and the case is directed to be quashed. The petitioner is acquitted and ordered to be released from all charges.

6. In view of the above, this Criminal Appeal is disposed of.

**12-11-2025**

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rri



To

WEB COPY

1. The State Rep by  
Inspector of Police, All Women Police  
Station, Arakkonam, Cr.No.7 of 2023
2. Sessions Judge, Special Court (The  
Protection of Children from Sexual  
Offence Act of 2012) Vellore.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL A No. 1638 of 2025



**T.V.THAMILSELVI J.**  
**rri**

**CRL A No. 1638 of 2025**

**12-11-2025**