



Crl.O.P.No.10467 of 2025



SUNDER MOHAN. J.,

This matter is posted today before this Court under the caption 'For Being Mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that on 08.04.2025, this Court had granted bail to petitioner herein in Crl.O.P.No.10467 of 2025. However, in the order, the name has been mentioned as Dayalan,. instead of 1. Dayalan, 2. Balu. Thus, he prayed for suitable directions.

3. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, Registry is directed to issue order copy afresh forthwith, by correcting cause title of the order as follows:

“ Dayalan

Balu

... Petitioners .”

09.04.2025

Issue order copy by 09.04.2025.

Upload order copy forthwith

drl

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS



DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.10467 of 2025

Dayalan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
V-1, Villivakkam Police Station, Chennai.
(Crime No.100 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.100 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.J.Santhosh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.100 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused committed theft of the defacto complainant's I-Phone. Hence, the case.



WEB COPY


3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and in any case, petitioner is in custody from 26.02.2025 and further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the stolen property has been recovered and that; the petitioner has other previous cases and in those cases, he is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that the stolen property has been recovered; the petitioner is on bail in other cases; and that since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai** and on further conditions that:



WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;


[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

08.04.2025

Sma

To

1. XIII Metropolitan Magistrate,



Egmore, Chennai..



2. The Inspector of Police,
V-1, Villivakkam Police Station, Chennai.

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison-II, Chennai.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.10467 of 2025

08.04.2025