



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.04.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.13632 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.15304 & 15306 of 2025

M/s. S.Haritha Traders

A Proprietorship Firm, rep. By its Proprietor

Mr.K.Sivakumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Deputy Commissioner (ST) (GST)(APPEAL)

ERODE AND SALEM

GOOD AND SERVICES TAX DEPARTMENT

INTEGRATED NEW COMMERCIAL TAX BUILDING

3RD FLOOR SF NO. 400/1 7, 8, 46 Pudhur B Village

Erode - 638 002.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

VELAKOVIL ASSESMENT CIRCLE TIRUPUR III

TIRUPUR TAMILNADU.

...Respondents

Prayer

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the 2nd Respondent dated 29.12.2023 bearing number ZD331223252661R and quash the same as illegal unconstitutional and against the principles of natural justice.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.S.I. Sharukumar

For Respondents : Ms..Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran
Government Advocate (T)

Order

Heard Mr.S.I. Sharukumar learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Ms..Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran learned Government Advocate (T) who takes notice on behalf of the respondents. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order passed by the second respondent dated 29.12.2023 and quash the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all the show cause notice/personal hearing notice, which culminated in the impugned orders, were merely uploaded in the GST Portal under the "View Additional Notices Tab", and not served on the petitioner via. RPAD, hence, the same were unnoticed by the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner could not file reply nor appear for the personal hearing, however, without hearing the



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

petitioner, the impugned order came to be passed.

WEB COPY

3.1 Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and are liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order. However, it is stated that the petitioner is also ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remands the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration, and thus, prays for appropriate orders.

4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the respondents fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Taking into consideration of the submissions made on either side



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

and perusal of record, there is no dispute on the aspect that notices, which culminated in the impugned order were merely uploaded in the GST portal and not served on the petitioner via. RPAD, and same were unnoticed by the petitioner as the petitioner had no occasion to view the Portal then and there, hence, the petitioner could not file reply or appear for the personal hearing. However, the second respondent passed the impugned order without even affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, which is nothing but an ex parte order, as the same suffers from violation of principles of natural justice.

6. Thus, once the order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice, this Court cannot impose any condition requiring the petitioner to make any deposit, however, since the petitioner, themselves, have voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Government Advocate (T) is also agreeable, this Court is inclined to pass/issue the following orders/directions:-

- i) The impugned order passed by the second respondent dated 29.12.2023 is set aside.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the second respondent for fresh consideration.

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves have voluntarily come forward to make such payment within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the second respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

7. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms.



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

17.04.2025

sd

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no

To

1. The Deputy Commissioner (ST) (GST)(APPEAL)
ERODE AND SALEM
GOOD AND SERVICES TAX DEPARTMENT
INTEGRATED NEW COMMERCIAL TAX BUILDING
3RD FLOOR SF NO. 400/1 7, 8, 46 Pudhur B Village
Erode - 638 002.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
VELAKOVIL ASSESMENT CIRCLE TIRUPUR III
TIRUPUR TAMILNADU.

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

sd

6/7



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13632 of 2025

W.P.No.13632 of 2025

17.04.2025y