



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10301 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

**CRL OP NO.10301 of 2025**

1. Perumal
2. Karthikeyan @ Karthick
3. Pradeepkumar @ Pradeep

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station,  
Krishnagiri District.  
(Crime No. 112 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.112 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

**For Petitioner(s)** : M/s. P.M. Jayachandran

**For Respondent(s)** : Mr. S. Balaji,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

*Crl.O.P.No.10301 of 2025*



## **ORDER**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS r/w. Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No.112 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of vehicle parking dispute between A1 and the defacto complainant, A1 along with the petitioners came to the defacto complainant's office, damaged his car mirror; and that thereafter, abused and assaulted the defacto complainant, due to which the defacto complainant sustained injury. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; that the petitioners herein are only friends of A1 and on account of vehicle parking dispute between A1 and the defacto complainant, a wordy quarrel arose and both parties exchanged blows; that while the same was questioned by the petitioners, a false complaint has



WEB COPY

been lodged by the defacto complainant against the petitioners; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that on account of vehicle parking dispute, A1 along with the petitioners abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and damaged the mirror and wind shield of the defacto complainant's car worth about Rs.75,000/-; that A1 was arrested and released on bail; that the petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A4 in this case; that the injured was discharged from the hospital; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the injured person was discharged from the



hospital and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10301 of 2025




[c] the petitioners shall deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands only)** each to the credit of Crime No.112 of 2025 before the learned Magistrate concerned; that on such deposit, the defacto complainant is permitted to withdraw the same by making necessary application and production of valid proof before the learned Magistrate concerned.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



*Crl.O.P.No.10301 of 2025*

**07.04.2025**

WEB COPY

**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station,  
Krishnagiri District.  
(Crime No. 112 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.

**Crl.O.P. No.10301 of 2025**

**07.04.2025**