



WEB COPY

W.P.No.16094



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P.No.16094 of 2025

R.Bhuvaneshwari

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Coimbatore North, Coimbatore.


... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 28.01.2025, to direct the respondent to conduct, complete the enquiry and to dispose of the pending appeal within a time frame fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.Adithya Varadarajan
For Respondent	: Mr.R.Neelakandan,
	Additional Advocate General
	assisted by Mr.T.M.Rajangam,
	Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a writ of certiorarified mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 28.01.2025 and to pass appropriate orders thereon after conducting due



enquiry.

WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the petitioner she is the daughter of one Late Rangammal, who died on 03.04.1983, and she had approached the Tahsildar by submitting an online application through e-seva vide Application No.TN-7202501071582 for issuance of a legal heir certificate. However, the said application was rejected by the Tahsildar concerned without application of mind and without conducting any enquiry. However, subsequent thereto, the petitioner filed an appeal against the rejection of the online application before the respondent herein on 28.01.2025. It is the further case that during the pendency of the said appeal, the Revenue Inspector, Annur Taluk, also conducted an enquiry into the matter and issued Patta No.610 to one of the legal heirs by name R.Rangasamy Pillai. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, in terms of the said enquiry conducted by the Revenue Inspector, Annur Taluk, the respondent herein also can also dispose of the appeal by conducting appropriate enquiry. However, as the respondent is not taking any action, the petitioner approached this court by filing the present writ petition.

3. Mr.R.Neelakandan, learned Additional Advocate General, representing Mr.T.M.Rajangam, learned Government Advocate, fairly submitted



W.P.No.1609



that the respondent would consider the representation dated 28.01.2025 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

WEB COPY

4. In the light of the above, this court does not see any reason to keep the matter pending. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondent to consider the appeal filed by the petitioner through the representation dated 28.01.2025 and dispose of the same after conducting due enquiry, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

13.06.2025

skr

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Coimbatore North, Coimbatore.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.16094



MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

skr

W.P.No.16094 of 2025



WEB COPY

W.P.No.1609



13.06.2025