



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

1.Monishkumar
2.Dhatchinamoorthy
3.Ilakkia
4.Yamini

.... Petitioners

Vs

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur
2.Inspector of Police,
Pathirivedu Police Station,
Thiruvallur District
3.Mukesh Moorthy

.... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the second respondent herein viz. The Inspector of Police, Pathirivedu Police Station, Thiruvallur District not to harass and humiliate the petitioners herein on the pretext of enquiry.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sasikumar

For Respondents

For R1 & 2 : Mr.R.Vinothraja,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the second respondent herein viz. The Inspector of Police, Pathirivedu Police Station, Thiruvallur District not to harass and humiliate the petitioners herein on the pretext of enquiry.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the respondents police harassed the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioners, petition enquiry is pending.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondents police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, have invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025


2023.

WEB COPY

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

strictly adhered to.

WEB COPY 10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal
Original Petition stands disposed off.

09.04.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur
- 2.Inspector of Police,
Pathirivedu Police Station,
Thiruvallur District
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025

09.04.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No. 10649 of 2025