



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

and

Crl.M.P.No.7555 of 2023

Kavitha

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
ChinnaEkkadu,
Jaya Nagar, Thiruvallur,
Tamil Nadu – 602001.

2. S.Surendra Babu

... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to call for the records pertaining to the F.I.R dated 06.10.2021 in Crime No.50 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Ms.J.Yamini Jai Divya

For Respondents : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
(for R1)



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.50 of 2021, dated 06.10.2021 on the file of the first respondent.

2. The case of the prosecution is that in order to get a medical seat, the accused had received a sum of Rs.85,00,000/- from the second respondent. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent Police registered a case in Crime No.50 of 2021 for the offences under Sections 406, 417, 420 and 34 of IPC as against the petitioner. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the investigation is almost over, and the first respondent police is yet to file a final report.



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the first respondent and perused the materials placed on record.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegations as against the petitioner to attract the offence, which has to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the judgement reported in **2019 (14) SCC 350** in the case of ***Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors., (Crl.A.No.255 of 2019 dated 12.02.2019)*** held that the learned Magistrate while taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with the view to



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

WEB COPY

taking cognizance of the offence whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused person. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the materials or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to conviction or not. Only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence or is frivolous, vexatious or oppressive, the complaint/FIR can be taken for consideration for quashment. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by Magistrate, it can be considered for quashment. Therefore, it is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification to interfere. At the initial stage of issuance of process, it is no open to the Court to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Therefore, the criminal complaint cannot be quashed only on the ground that the



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

WEB COPY

allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are *prima facie* made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted.

8. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued directions in the judgement reported in **2021 SCC Online SC 315** in the case of ***M/s.Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of Maharashtra & ors.***, as follows :-

“23.

vi) *Criminal proceedings ought not to be scuttled at the initial stage;*

vii) *Quashing of a complaint/FIR should be an exception rather than an ordinary rule;*

.....

xii) *The first information report is not an encyclopaedia which must disclose all facts and details relating to the offence reported. Therefore, when the investigation by the police is in progress, the court should not go into the merits of the allegations in the FIR. Police must be permitted to complete the investigation. It would be premature to pronounce the conclusion based on hazy facts that the complaint/FIR does not deserve to be investigated*



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

or that it amounts to abuse of process of law. After investigation, if the investigating officer finds that there is no substance in the application made by the complainant, the investigating officer may file an appropriate report/summary before the learned Magistrate which may be considered by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the known procedure;

.....

xv) When a prayer for quashing the FIR is made by the alleged accused and the court when it exercises the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., only has to consider whether the allegations in the FIR disclose commission of a cognizable offence or not. The court is not required to consider on merits whether or not the merits of the allegations make out a cognizable offence and the court has to permit the investigating agency/police to investigate the allegations in the FIR;”

9. In view of the above discussions, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. The first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.50 of 2021 and file a final report within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed.



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

WEB COPY

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

07.04.2025

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation/Yes/No

kv

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
ChinnaEkkadu,
Jaya Nagar, Thiruvallur,
Tamil Nadu – 602001.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

kv

Crl.O.P.No.12326 of 2023

07.04.2025