



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10079 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 10079 of 2025

L.Fukokuva Leyanstic Anandh

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by its,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.254 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.A.Velmurugan

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.254 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(2) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.254 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB GATE

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of money dispute, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him with plastic paint bucket and caused injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



CrI.O.P.No.10079 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police twice in a week, at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10079 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN J.

drl

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

04-04-2025

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police,
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.

2. The XIV Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai.

CRL OP No. 10079 of 2025