



W.P.No.12983 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.12983 of 2025

Ramasamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Hosur Sub Division, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
House Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertains to the second respondent's proceedings in No.ந.க.எண்.55/கா.து.க.அ/ஒ.உ.கோ/2025 Dated 15.03.2025, quash the same and direct the second respondent give permission permitting the petitioner for protest demonstration to any other date as fixed by this Court forthwith petitioner's application dated 11.03.2025.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.12983 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Padmanabhan

For Respondents

For R1 to R3 : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the second respondent dated 15.03.2025, thereby rejecting the request made by the petitioner for protest demonstration.

2. It is seen that the petitioner submitted representation seeking permission to conduct protest as against the change of name of the road at VOC Nagar junction as Thanthai Periyar Square instead of Hosur Muneeswar Nagar Circle, on 21.01.2023 and the same was rejected by the second respondent by the impugned order.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents submitted that only as per the government order in G.O.(U)No.495 Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department dated 22.08.2023, the name of the Hosur internal road and VOC Nagar Junction has been changed as Thanthai Periyar Square.



W.P.No.12983 of 2025

4. Considering the above submissions, the petitioner ought to have challenge the above government order in the manner known to law.

Without challenging the said government order, mere conducting protest would not serve any purpose. The petitioner is being a resident of the said area, he made the application seeking permission to protest. Therefore, the request made by the petitioner was rightly rejected by the second respondent and this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the order passed by the second respondent. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the government in G.O.(U)No.495 Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department dated 22.08.2023, if so advised.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

22.04.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order

rts



WEB COPY



W.P.No.12983 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

rts

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Hosur Sub Division, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
House Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

W.P.No.12983 of 2025

22.04.2025