WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.10319 of 2025

R.Karthik ... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Sub-Inspector of police,
G-2, Periamet Police Station, Chennai.
(Crime No.13 of 2025). ... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.13 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Saravana Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.13 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 304(2) of BNS [379(A) of IPC].

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

accused snatched the defacto complainant's mobile phone. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and in any case, petitioner is in custody from 06.03.2025 and further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the stolen property has been recovered and that; the petitioner has other previous case.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that the stolen property has been recovered; the petitioner is on bail in other case; and that since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **II Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

08.04.2025

Sma

To

1. II Metropolitan Magistrate,
   Chennai..

2. The Sub-Inspector of police,
   G-2, Periamet Police Station, Chennai.

3. The Superintendent,
   Central Prison, Puzhal, Chenni.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.10319 of 2025

08.04.2025

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis