



HCP.No.637 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.06.2025

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH**

**AND**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN**

H.C.P.No.637 of 2025

Naseema Banu

Petitioner(s) /sister of the detainee

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by the  
Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise  
Department, Secretariat, Chennai-600  
009.

2.The Commissioner of  
Police/Detaining Authority,  
Office of the Commissioner of Police,  
Coimbatore City, Coimbatore.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Coimbatore,  
Coimbatore District.

4.The Inspector of police,  
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,  
Coimbatore City.



HCP.No.637 of 2025

Respondent(s)

WEB COPY

**PRAYER:** Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records relating to the Detention Order vide Memo C.No.24/G/IS/2025 dated 11.03.2025, passed by the Second Respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's brother namely A.Sathik, son of Abdulla, aged 25 years, (who is presently under going detention in the Central Prison, Coimbatore), before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N. Arun Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**and**  
**V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.**

The petitioner herein, who is the sister of the detenu, A.Sathik, son of Abdulla, aged 25 years, confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the



HCP.No.637 of 2025

second respondent dated 11.03.2025 issued against her brother, branding him as "Drug Offender" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 09.02.2025 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 11.03.2025. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. In the case of '*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura*',



reported in '**2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813**', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

*“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case.”*



HCP.No.637 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.



HCP.No.637 of 2025

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 11.03.2025 in Memo C.No.24/G/IS/2025, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., A.Sathik, son of Abdulla, aged 25 years, confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [V.L.N., J]  
27.06.2025

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Internet: Yes/No  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
*Anu*

To

1. Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise  
Department, Secretariat, Chennai-600  
009.

2. The Commissioner of  
Police/Detaining Authority,  
Office of the Commissioner of Police,  
Coimbatore City, Coimbatore.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Coimbatore,  
Coimbatore District.



HCP.No.637 of 2025

4.The Inspector of police,  
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,  
Coimbatore City.

5.The Joint Secretary,  
Law and Order Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai

6.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.637 of 2025

**M.S.RAMESH, J.**  
**and**  
**V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.**  
*Anu*

**H.C.P.No.637 of 2025**

**27.06.2025**