



C.M.P.No.14562 of 2025

in

WEB COPY C.M.A.SR.No.49102 of 2025

Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.

and

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR,J.

[Order of the Court was made by
Dr.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.]

The petition has been filed with a delay
of 98 days in preferring the above appeal.

2. Notice has been served on respondents 1 to 4 and counsel has been engaged on their behalf. As far as the 5th respondent is concerned, the private notice has been returned with the endorsement as “address not sufficient”.

3. From the records, we find even before the Tribunal, the 5th respondent, who was arrayed as 1st respondent, did not contest the proceedings and was set *ex parte*. In such circumstances and since there is no objection from the contesting respondents, the petition seeking condonation of delay of 98 days is allowed.



WEB COPY



[Dr.G.J., J.] & [M.S.K., J.]
28.11.2025

rpl

Note: The Registry is directed to number the
Appeal, if it is otherwise in order