



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.04.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.13038 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.14544 & 14545 of 2025

M/s.NAFA TRADING COMPANY
Rep by Faisal Ahmed,
Proprietor
O.No.35, N.No.121, First Floor, GNT Road,
Erukancherry, Chennai-600118.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Superintendent
Office of the Superintendent of GST and Central
Excise, Madhavaram Division, Range-4,
Chennai North Commissionerate, Newry Towers,
No.2054, I Block, II Avenue,
12th Main Road, Anna Nagar,
Chennai-600040.

...Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the respondent order dated 31.01.2025 in GSTIN:33ACOPF4298H1ZD and quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to redo the fresh assessment.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.V.G.Vijay Raj

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.Srinivas
Senior Standing Counsel

Order

Mr.A.P,Srinivas, learned Senior Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order dated 31.01.2025 passed by the respondent for the AY 2018-19 and to quash the same and also consequential direction to the respondent to redo the fresh assessment.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the first respondent has issued a show cause notice on 27.07.2024 and same was uploaded in the additional notices column of the GST portal without serving the physical copy of the same to the petitioner. Unfortunately, the petitioner's accountant failed to notice the same within time and therefore the



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

WEB COPY

petitioner was not aware of the show cause notice and hence failed to file its reply. The petitioner came to know of the show cause notice from the accountant belatedly. Since the petitioner failed to file reply to the said show cause notice, the respondent has confirmed the proposals contained in the show cause notice and passed the present impugned order. Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order.

3.1. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remand the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration.


4. The learned Government Advocate (T) for the first respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

WEB COPY 5. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

6. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

7. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 31.01.2025 is set aside.

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the first respondent for



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

fresh consideration.

WEB COPY

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves had voluntarily come forward to make such payment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the first respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

8. With the above observations & directions, this Writ



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous


WEB COPY Petitions are closed.

08.04.2025

arr

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

The Superintendent

Office of the Superintendent of GST and Central
Excise, Madhavaram Division, Range-4,
Chennai North Commissionerate, Newry Towers,
No.2054, I Block, II Avenue,
12th Main Road, Anna Nagar,
Chennai-600040.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.13038 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

arr

W.P.No.13038 of 2025

08.04.2025