



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10218 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.10218 of 2025

Dhanush

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Orathur Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No.29 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police
concerned in Crime No.29 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. S. Kasirajan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)




ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of BNS and under Section 21(1) of Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act in Crime No.29 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on a secret information, the respondent went to the spot, conducted vehicle inspection and found that the petitioner was involved in illegal transportation of three gunny bags of river sand in a two wheeler; that on seeing the police, the petitioner fled away from the spot. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for



anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Chidambaram** on condition that the



petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10218 of 2025



law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

07.04.2025

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate - II, Chidambaram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Orathur Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No.29 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10218 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.10218 of 2025

07.04.2025