



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10185 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.10185 of 2025

G. Arunachalam

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Nemili Police Station,
Ranipet - 631052.
(Crime No. 134 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.134 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : M/s. P. Velumaniyan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10185 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115 and 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNP of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.134 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of previous enmity, the petitioner went to the defacto complainant's house and picked up a wordy quarrel with the defacto complainant; that thereby the petitioner abused the defacto complainant and his wife and both parties exchanged blows. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; that on account of previous enmity, a false complaint has been lodged by the defacto complainant; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear




and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents; that there was no serious injuries caused to the parties; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned**



WEB COPY

Judicial Magistrate, Arakkonam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.10185 of 2025



law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

07.04.2025

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nemili Police Station,
Ranipet - 631052.
(Crime No. 134 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10185 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.10185 of 2025

07.04.2025