



Crl.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

Deepak

...Petitioner/Accused 1

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
R-11, Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.

(Crime No.100 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.100 of 2024 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



CrI.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.100 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 276 and 123 of BNS, 2023.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner, who is the brother of the defacto complainant, along with the other accused had injected Tapentedol through syringe to the defacto complainant; that while injecting the syringe, the needle was broken and stuck up in the defacto complainant's hand; on enquiry, it was revealed that the accused used to sell the said tablets and six Tapendedol tables have been seized. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent; that in any case, the petitioner is in custody from 10.03.2025 and hence, further custody is not required and sought for bail.



CrI.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the contraband has been seized.

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the the contraband has been seized and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamalle**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



Crl.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

03.04.2025

ata



CrI.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

WEB COPY

Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
R-11, Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamalle.
- 3.Central Prison, Puzhal.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.9954 of 2025

03.04.2025