



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.9990 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.9990 of 2025

Govindarajan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by
The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Vigilance and Anti Corruption,
Perambalur.

(Crime No.2 of 2025)

...Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.2 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Kannankumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.03.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.2 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Amendment) Act, 2018.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner, who was working as an Executive Officer of Shri Mathanagopalsamy Temple, Perambalur, had demanded and received Rs.3,000/- from the defacto complainant as a bribe for obtaining permission to proceed with the renovation works at the said temple; and that a trap was conducted and the petitioner was arrested. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; that the petitioner has been suspended from service and that in any case, considering the period of incarceration, further custody of the petitioner is not required and sought for bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was arrested during trap proceedings.



5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, this Court is of the view that further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Perambalur**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

03.04.2025

ata



WEB COPY

Copy to:

- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Vigilance and Anti Corruption,
Perambalur.
- 2.The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Perambalur.
- 3.Sub Jail, Perambalur.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.9990 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

Crl.O.P.No.9990 of 2025

03.04.2025