



WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 9773 of 202



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **02-04-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 9773 of 2025

S. Tamilarasi @ Tamilselvi
W/o.Azhagarsamy 3/166, South Street, Korikkadavu,
Palani Taluk, Dindigul District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep.By, The Inspector Of Police,
Dharapuram Police Station, Tiruppur District.
(Crime. No.118 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr. C S Saravanan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Balaji

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) BNS, 2023 (341, 294(b), 323, 324 IPC) and Section 4 of of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.118 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant; and that the petitioner abused,



assaulted and threatened the defacto complainant of dire consequences
this case.



WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that, the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of



arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the

which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate**

Court, Dharapuram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate

WEB COPY

bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two

sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police

officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate

concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed

and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



WEB COPY



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

02-04-2025

msv

To
The Inspector Of Police,
Dharapuram Police Station,
Tiruppur District.