



CRL OP NO. 9672 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **02-04-2025**

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 9672 of 2025

Balaji .R

S/o. Rajasekar No.2, Pattammal 2nd Cross Street,
Vasuki Nagar, Chinnasekkadu, Manali, Chennai - 600
068.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.By Its, The Inspector Of Police,
F-2 Egmore Police Station, Chennai - 600 008.
(Cr.No.216 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr. Krishnamurthy Govindarajulu
P.Dhanasekaran

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 74 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.216 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that a wordy quarrel arose between

petitioner and the defacto complainant and that the petitioner abused and threatened the defacto complainant of dire consequences. Hence, this case.

WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police who is opposing for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the ev

arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on

which the order copy made ready, before **the learned XVI Metropolitan**

WEB COPY

Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai on condition that the petitioner

shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand**

only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent

police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the

learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail

shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

02-04-2025

msv

To
The Inspector Of Police,
F-2 Egmore Police Station,
Chennai - 600 008.