



WEB COPY



W.P.No. 12969 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.12969 of 2025

S.Vasanthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Vepery, Chennai 7.

2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Adayar Police District,
Adayar, Chennai 20.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Neelangarai Police Station,
Neelangarai, Chennai.

4.N.Subramaniam

5.Iswarya Rayini

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the third respondent to give police protection for the petitioner life and for construction of compound wall in Plots bearing Nos.5 and 7 in S.F.No.82/2 part, Ranjith Nagar Layout, Sholinganallur Taluk, to an



W.P.No. 12969 of 2025

extent of 7600 sq.ft. by considering her representation dated 09.03.2025.

WEB COPY


For Petitioner : Mr.B.Gopalakrishnan

For R1 to R3 : Mr.R.Vinothraja,
Government Advocate (crl.side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the third respondent to provide police protection for her life and for the construction of a compound wall in Plots Nos.5 and 7, in S.F.No.82/2 part, Ranjith Nagar Layout, Sholinganallur Taluk, measuring a total extent of 7600 sq.ft. by considering her representation dated 09.03.2025.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner purchased two adjoining plots in Sholinganallur using her own funds, registering one (Plot No.7) in her husband's name, who is the fourth respondent herein, as a name-lender. Despite a divorce and a mutual agreement for him to transfer the plot to her, the fourth respondent fraudulently executed a settlement deed in 2018 in favour of the fifth respondent, claiming her as



W.P.No. 12969 of 2025

WEB COPY

his wife, while the marriage with the petitioner was still subsisting. While being so, on 07.02.2025 and 06.03.2025, the fourth and fifth respondents trespassed into the property with unknown persons, damaged the property, and attempted to take unlawful possession. Despite police complaint, no action has been taken. Hence, this petition.

3. Heard both sides and perused the materials placed on record.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that police protection can only be granted to safeguard a person's life and limb. In the event of any obstruction or objection while constructing the compound wall around the petitioner's property, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the Civil Court for appropriate relief.

5. In view of the above, this writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

09.04.2025

Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
shk



WEB COPY



W.P.No. 12969 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

shk

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Vepery, Chennai 7.

2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Adayar Police District,
Adayar, Chennai 20.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Neelangarai Police Station,
Neelangarai, Chennai.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

W.P.No.12969 of 2025

09.04.2025