



WEB COPY



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 03.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.11972 of 2025
& W.M.P.Nos.13538 & 13540 of 2025

M/s.Chandru Motor Services Centre,
Rep by its Proprietor, B.Chandra Kumar,
No.138/D, Jinna Street, Kaveripattinam,
Krishnagiri 635 112

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)(FAC),
Krishnagiri I Circle, Krishnagiri.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the respondent herein in GST IN 33ETHPS5197A1ZI/2017-18 and quash the proceeding dated 26.09.2024 passed therein.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Raveendran

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik,
Additional Government Pleader



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 26.09.2024 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the Registration of the petitioner was cancelled on 15.07.2022. Subsequent to the said cancellation, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the “View Additional Notices and Orders” column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, this petition has been filed.



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. In the case on hand, it is clear that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was passed in violation of principles of natural justice since it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits.

8. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 26.09.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 26.09.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 25% of disputed tax amount to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today (03.04.2025) and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

9. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

03.04.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa



WEB COPY



W.P.No.11972 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)(FAC),
Krishnagiri I Circle, Krishnagiri.

W.P.No.11972 of 2025
and W.M.P.Nos.13538 & 13540 of 2025

03.04.2025