



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **02.04.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

C Arun

Petitioner

Vs

State Rep By,
The Inspector Of Police
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District
(Cr.No 376 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner / Accused on
anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 376 of 2025 pending
on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.S Nagarajan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 131
and 351(2) of the BNS Act in Crime No. 376 of 2025, on the file of the



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioner assaulted the de facto complainant with hands and abused him in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that injured has been discharged from the hospital, there is a counter case and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, there is a counter case and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **Judicial Magistrate Palladam, Tiruppur District** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

02.04.2025

vca



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

WEB COPY

To:

1. The Inspector Of Police
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate Palladam,
Tiruppur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

vca

CRL OP NO.9664 of 2025

02.04.2025