



WEB COPY

WP No. 11616 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 01-04-2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY**

**WP No. 11616 of 2025**

**AND**

**WMP NO. 13132 OF 2025, WMP NO. 13130 OF 2025**

TVL.SSCI Impex  
Rep. By Its Proprietor Mr.Narayanan  
Chandrasekar,  
No.21, Long Bazaar, Vellore-632 004.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Tax Officer,review,(Intelligence),  
Office Of The Joint Commissioner (ST)  
(Intelligence),  
No.4 Bharathiyar Salai, Fort Round Road,  
Vellore Division, Vellore 632001.

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:-**Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleaded to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the Respondent vide the impugned ex- parte order issued in Reference No. ZD330624022331Z dated 04.06.2024 in GST DRC-07 for the assessment period 2017-18 and quash the same as being violative of principles of natural justice.



WEB COPY

WP No. 11616 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Karthkeyan

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran,  
Government Advocate (t)

### **ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking to call for the records of the Respondent vide the impugned ex- parte order issued in Reference No. ZD330624022331Z dated 04.06.2024 in GST DRC-07 for the assessment period 2017-18 and quash the same.

2.Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, learned Government Advocate (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3.By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

4.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, in the present case, the petitioner have not received any physical copy of the show cause notice and also personal hearing notice. The show cause notice dated



02.01.2024 was uploaded in the GST Portal tab in view additional notices

column and the petitioner had no occasion to open the GST Portal. Even the

impugned order dated 04.06.2024 was also uploaded in the view additional

notices column, which is violation of principle of natural justice. He would

further submit that the petitioner has already remitted 10% of the disputed tax

demand and he is ready and willing to pay another 15% of the disputed tax

demand in respect of the impugned assessment period and prayed to set aside

the impugned order directing the respondent to permit the petitioner to file their

reply and provide an opportunity of personal hearing so that the petitioner

would be able to substantiate their case.

5.Learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would

submit that as per the voluntary submissions made by the learned counsel for

the petitioner, subject to the deposit of 15% of the disputed tax demand by the

petitioner in respect of the impugned assessment period, if the Court feels it

appropriate and it is a fit case for re-consideration, this Court may consider and

pass orders.



WEB COPY

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, in spite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should



have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by

way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also

the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective

service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an

*ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful

purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not

only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of

the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no

response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the

Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending

notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act,

preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the

GST Act.

9. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner. Hence, this

Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the



WEB COPY



following directions:-

(i) The order impugned herein is set aside on condition that the petitioner deposits 15% of the disputed tax amount in addition to the 10% deposit already made, in respect of the impugned assessment period, as agreed by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.



10. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

**01-04-2025**

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rst

To

The State Tax

Officer, review, (Intelligence),

Office Of The Joint Commissioner (ST)  
(Intelligence),

No.4 Bharathiyar Salai, Fort Round

Road, Vellore Division, Vellore 632001.



WEB COPY

WP No. 11616 of 2025



**KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.**

rst

WP No. 11616 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 13132 OF 2025,

WMP NO. 13130 OF 2025

01-04-2025